EXTRACTINGAUTOMATICALLYTHEPERCEIVEDINTENSITYOF MUSICTITLES

AymericZils, FrançoisPachet

SonyCSLParis {zils, pachet}@csl.sony.fr

ABSTRACT

We address the issue of extracting automatically hi gh-level musical descriptors out of their raw audio signal. This work focuses on the extraction of the perceived intensityofmusictitles, thatevaluateshowenergicthemusicisperceivedb ylisteners. Wepresentherefirsttheperceptiveteststhatwe haveconducted, in order to evaluate the relevance and the universa lity of the perceived intensity descriptor. Then we present se veralmethods used to extract relevant features used to build automaticintensity extractors:usualMpeg7lowlevelfeatures,empiric almethod.and features automatically found using our Extractor Di scovery System (EDS), and compare the final performances of their extractors.

1. INTRODUCTION

The exploding field of Music Information Retrieval has recently created extra pressure to the community of audio si gnal processing, for extracting automatically high-level music with millions descriptors. Indeed, current systems propose users of music titles (e.g. the peer-to-peer systems such as Kazaa) and query functions limited usually to string matching ontitle names. The natural extension of these systems is content-b ased access. i.e. the possibility to access music titles based o n their actual content, rather than on file names. Existing system s today are mostly based on editorial information (e.g. Kazaa), or metadata which is entered manually, either by pools of exper ts (e.g. All MusicGuide)orinacollaborativemanner(e.g.the MoodLogic). Because these methods are costly and do not allow s cale up, the issue of extracting automatically high-level featur es from the acoustic signals is key to the success of online mu sic access systems.

Extracting automatically content from music titles is a long story. Many attempts have been made to identify dim ensions of music that are perceptually relevant and can be ext racted automatically. One of the most known is tempoor be at, that is a very important dimension of music that makes sense to any listener. However, there are many other dimensions ofmusicthat are perceptually relevant, and that could be extrac ted from the signal.Forinstance,thepresenceofvoiceinamu sictifle i.e. the distinction between instrumentals and songs is an i mportant characteristic of a title. We focus here on another example: the perceived intensity. It makes sense to extract the subjective impression of energy that music titles convey, inde pendently of theRMSvolumelevel:withthesamevolume,aHardrockmusic

title conveys more energy than, says, an acoustic g uitar ballad withasoftvoice.

Extractingaperceptivedescriptorraisestwomain issues: -First, we have to prove the relevance and the universality of the descriptor, by conducting perceptive tests on a set of listeners.

- Second, once the descriptor is proven relevant, t he informationhastobe extracted automatically; the task is difficult because polyphonic music signals are usually highly complex in nature. We experiment here several methods to detec trelevant characteristics of the audio signal, enabling us to evaluate the intensity of music titles.

2. PERCEPTIVETESTSONPERCEIVEDINTENSITY

every listener Musical intensity is a subjective descriptor, that perceives differently. In order to build a global m odel of this descriptor, we have to find a consensual basis in t he diverse perceptions of intensity, and prove that it is rele vanttogeneralize ourmodel. We conducted 2 series of perceptive test s;wepresent here the first tests that were evaluated on a datab asecontaining 204 musical signals of duration 10s, with a priori various intensities. These signal are then used as a learni ng database to build intensity extractors. The second tests were p erformed on another 200 signals database, used as a test databa se for our extractors.

2.1. Presentationofthetests

The tests were done on people from our lab, and wer accessible on the web. They consisted in listening 204 musical extracts, and evaluating its intensity choosingacategoryamongLow-Medium-High-Very High.



Fig.1: WebPageofthePerceivedIntensityTests

2.2. Results

We obtained more than 2600 answers, that correspond s to approximately12-13answersforeachtitleofthed atabase

Thenageneralperceivedintensityvalueiscompute dforeach title of the database, by removing the extreme resu lts, assigning a numeric value for each energy level (normalized bet ween 0 for 'Low' and 1 for 'Very High'), and taking the mean v alue on all listeners. To evaluate the relevance of this intens ity value, we compute the standard deviation of the results for a llthelisteners: for 200 titles (98%), the standard deviation is les s than the distance between two successive categories (0.33), so the mean value is assumed to be a correct evaluation of their rintensity. The onsider that other titles are removed from the database, as we c theirintensityistoosubjectivetobeevaluated.

These final intensity values are then used as learn ing references to compute our model, and built automati c extractors. We proceed the same on the second series of percept ivetests, in ordertobuilda200titlesdatabase.usedtotest thesemodelsand extractors.

3. EXTRACTINGFEATURESFORINTENSITYUSING TRADITIONALMETHOD

We present here the different methods that were tes ted to find relevant extractors for the intensity of music titles:combinationof low-level features, empirical method, and EDS, our systemusing a genetic algorithm to build relevant features for The features are evaluated by computing the correla the function values and the perceptive values, by c on the 200 remaining titles of the learning database. As a comparison, note that the correlation of a ran domfunctionis 0.18

givenproblems. tionbetween ross-validation

3.1. UsualMpeg7AudioFeatures

We first used a traditional approach to build highlevel music descriptors, that consists in combining selected fe atures out of a set of lower-level ones (for example [1]). Since the reisnosignal processing state of the art in evaluating the perce ived intensity, we filled the set with audio descriptors that are k nown to be relevant for audio description problems, such as th ose described inMpeg7(see[2]).Wetested30Mpeg7-likefeature s.basedon temporal and spectral features among which amplitud es, energies, high-frequencycontent, spectral flatness, spectral centroïd, and so on...

The most correlated feature is the spectral skewness, with a correlation of 0.56, which provided an model error of 16.9% compared to the results of the perceptive tests. Th emostrelevant combination of these features has a correlation of 0.87, with a modelerrorof12.1%.

3.2. EmpiricalMethod

Wehaddifferentintuitionsontheoriginofthein The two main intuitions were that the intensity is the tempo, or more complexly to the variations of e signal. Several new features were built out of thes instance, we used Scheirer's tempo extractor [3], a different signal processing functions describing th e signal energy

tensityofmusic. simplylinkedto nergy of the eintuitions:for nd studied

,London,UK,September8-11,2003

variations. We evaluated the correlation of all the sefeatureswith the perceptive tests. The most correlated function that we found is:

Log(Variance(Derivation(Energy(AudioSignal)))) with a correlation of 0.57. This function is linked with the amplitude and the frequency of the variations of th e signal's raw energy. The tempo, automatically extracted with Eri c Scheirer's method, hadacorrelation of 0.55.

4. EXTRACTINGFEATURESFORINTENSITYWITH THEEDSSYSTEM

CSL,isa EDS (Extractor Discovery System), developed at Sony heuristic-based generic approach for extracting aut omatically high-levelmusicdescriptors from a coustic signals. EDS approach is based on Genetic Programming (see [4]), used to build extraction functions as compositions of basic mathe matical and signalprocessingoperators.

4.1. PresentationofEDS

4.1.1. Globalarchitecture

Considering:

- A given description problem: classification or re gression (here theevaluationoftheglobalintensity),

- A database of audio signals with the associated p erceptive values(normalizedintensityhere).

EDS consists in 2 steps: (1) genetic search algorit hm builds relevant signal processing features for the descrip tion problem. and (2) machine learning algorithms build the assoc iated extractors from these features. The global architec ture of the systemispresentedinFig.2:



Fig.2: EDSGlobalArchitecture

In the features genetic search phase, the search is specialized heuristics that embody knowledge about

guided by the signal processing functions built by the system. Signal pr ocessing patterns are used in order to control the general f unction extraction methods. Rewriting rules are introduced to simplify overlycomplexexpressions.Inaddition,acaching systemfurther reduces the computing cost of each cycle.

4.1.2. EDSfunctions

EDS builds functions as compositions of signal proc essing and mathematical operators, such as 'MEAN(X)', that ta kesthemean valueofthesetX,or'HPFILTER(X,Fc)',thatfil tersthesignalX withacut-offfrequencyFc.Forinstance:

MEAN(MAX(FTT(SPLIT(HPFILER(Signal, 1000Hz), 10 *ms*))) -high-passfilterstheaudiosignalat1000Hz,

-thensplitstheresultingsignalinto10msframes

-thentakesMAXoftheFFTofeachframe,

-andfinallyprovidestheMEANvalue(onallthef rames)

4.1.3. EDSdatatypes

Typing rules allow to control the input and output types for eachoperator, and consequently the syntax of the g lobalfunction. The need for typing is well-known in Genetic Progra mming, to ensure that the functions generated are at least sy ntactically correct. Different type systems have been proposed for GP. such as strong typing ([5), that mainly differentiate be tween the "programming" types of the inputs and outputs of fu nctions

In EDS, we distinguish data at the level of their " physical dimension": For instance, audio signals and spectru m are both vectors offloats, but are different in their dimen sions:asignalisa time to amplitude representation, while a spectrum associates frequency to amplitude. Thus, we have 3 "physical" atomics types:time"t", frequency "f", and amplitudes "a", that allows to build more complex types, such as functions (repres entations fromone dimension to another, for example the type ofanaudio signal [time to amplitude representation] is "t:a") , and vectors (special cases of functions associating an index to a value, for example, alist of time on sets in an audio signali snotated"Vt").

4.1.4. EDSpatterns

This typing system allows to build "generic operato rs" that stand for one or several random operator(s) whose o utput type and possible arguments are forced. 3 different gene ric operator (notated"*","!",and"?")standfor1orseveral operatorofgiven outputtypes, with 1 or several given arguments.

These generic operators allow to write functions pa tterns.that stand for any function satisfying a given signal pr ocessing method.Forinstance,thepattern:

?_a (!_Va(Split (*_t:a(SIGNAL))))

standsfor:

 $\label{eq:applysignal} & \mbox{ Apply signal transformations in the temporal doma } \\$ in»(*_t:a) «Splittheresultingsignalintoframes»(Split) «Find1characteristicvalueforeachframe»(!_V a) «Find1 relevant characteristic value for the enti resignal»(?_a) Thisgeneralextractionschemecanbeinstantiated as: Sum (Square(Mean (Split(HpFilter (SIGNAL, 1000Hz), 100)))) ThesepatternscanbespecifiedinEDStoguidethe search

,London,UK,September8-11,2003

4.1.5. EDSheuristics

Heuristics are vital ingredients to guide the searc h and a central point in the design of EDS. They represent theknow-how of signal processing experts, about functions seen a priori, i.e. before their evaluation. The interest of heuristics isthattheyboth favor a priori interesting functions, and rule out obviously noninterestingones.

A heuristic in EDS associates a score between 0 and 10toa potential composition of operators, used to select candidatesatall the function creation stages during the search. In addition, heuristics are useful to control the structure of thefunctions(such as the size of functions), avoid useless combinatio nsofoperators (suchasredundancies), range constant values, etc.

4.1.6. EDSgeneticsearchoffunctions

The system uses a genetic algorithm to build releva nt features, that works as follows: - FDS starts with building a random population off

unctions out

EDD starts with building a random population of	unctionsout		
of a set of operators. This creation is done in res	pectwiththedata		
typesandtheheuristics.			
-computes the instantiations of the created functi	ons with all the		
signalsinthegeneticsearchdatabase			
- evaluates the correlation of the values of the c	reated functions		
with the values of the perceptive tests,			
-selectsthemostcorrelatedfunctionsinthepopu	lation,		
-appliessomegenetictransformationsonthesefun	ctions, such as		
constants variations, mutations, replacements, and	cross-over.		
- creates a new population out of the transformed f	unctions and		
newrandomfunctions.			
-evaluatesthenewpopulationisevaluated, and so	on		
Theoretically, the system stops when a perfect function is			

found(correlation=1); practically we use the inter mediateresults (the best functions found since the beginning) as f eaturestobuild modelsofintensity.

4.1.7. Genetictransformationsoffunctions

Genetic transformations of functions in EDS are of different types:constantsvariations, mutations, replacement s, cross-over.

Constants variation keeps the structure of the func tion but appliessomeslightvariationsontheconstantvalu es.Forinstance "Mean (HpFilter (Signal, 1500Hz))" can be transformed into "Mean(HpFilter(Signal, 1400Hz))".

Replacements replace 1 operator of the function by another ple, "Mean operator that handles the same data types. For exam (HpFilter (Signal, 1500Hz))" can be transformed into "Mean (LpFilter(Signal, 1500Hz))".

Mutations replace 1 sequence of operators in the fu nctionby Forexample, another sequence that handles the same data types. "Mean (HpFilter (Signal, 1500Hz))" can be transformed in to "Max(Autocorrelation (HpFilter(Signal, 1500Hz))))".

Cross-over replace 1 sequence of operators in a fun ctionby another sequence taken from another function, that handles the (HpFilter same data types. For example, a cross-over of "Mean (Signal, 1500Hz))" and " Max (Autocorrelation (Signal)))" can be" Max(Autocorrelation (HpFilter(Signal,1500Hz))".

4.1.8. EDSoptimization

2 optimizations speed-upEDS process: rewritingrul es, and a system of caching of the most useful results.

Rewriting rules are applied to simplify functions before their evaluation, using a fixed point mechanismuntilto obtain a normal form. Unlike heuristics, they are not used by the genetical gorithm to favor combinations, but avoid computing several times the same function with different but equivalent forms, and reduce the computation cost (for example using Perseval equality of a voids to compute the "Fourier transform" of a signal).

Finally, acaching mechanismisintroduced, so that function is computed once, and reused when possible anew function is computed, all the intermediatere on separate files, and the caching technique consis memory the most useful results, depending on their time, their utility, and the irsize.

4.2. ResultsofEDS

4.2.1. Features

We ran EDS on the perceived intensity problem. The search has provided automatically different types of relev ant features.

First, EDS has found features close to Mpeg7 low-le vel descriptors, but improved with different pre-proces sings. For example, the most correlated function of this type found by the system is "*Mean (SpectralSkewness (Split (Signal, 0.1s)))* ", that hasacorrelationof0.60. Thisshowsthat EDS is a bleto improve automatically usual features by adding specific sig nal processing, which is usually done by hand by researchers.

Second, EDS has found features close to empirically found descriptors, but improved with additional operation s. The most correlated of these functions is: " *Mean (Log (Variance (Split (Derivation(Square(Signal)), Is))))* "withacorrelationof0.64.

Finally, EDS has found new features, such as " *Sqrt (Min (Sum (Fft (Split (Signal, 1s)))))* ", that reaches a correlation of 0.69.

4.2.2. Extractor

Finally, we solve the regression problem of buildin gthe most efficient intensity extractor as possible, by compucombination of the most relevant functions found by We obtain efficient extractors for audio signals of thatisthe length of audiosignals in the database by cross-validation on our test database. It combin and the best features found by EDS.

Toobtainaextractorfortheglobalintensityofa wholesong, whose duration is several minutes, we need to cutt hesignalinto ames, and then 10s frames, extract the local intensity on these fr l value. For aggregate the local intensity values into one globa e "mean music browsing applications, we chose to provide th intensity" of a song, by taking the mean value on a lltheframes.It is also interesting to draw a "song intensity profi le"representing the successive intensity values along a song, inor dertodetermine whichpartsofthesongaremoreorlessintense.

,London,UK,September8-11,2003

5. SUMMARY

Here is a table that summarizes the results of the intensity extractorforthedifferentmethodsused:

METHOD	CORRELATION	MODEL ERROR
RANDOM (meanvalueforalltitles)	0.18	21%
BESTMP7Feature [SpectralSkewness(SIG)]	0.56	16.9% +-1.5%
MP7FeaturesCombination 21SelectedFeatures	0.87	12.1% +-1.9%
BESTEDSFeature [Sqrt(Min(Sum(Fft(Split (SIG,1s))))]	0.68	14.5% +-1.8%
EDS+MP7Combination 18SelectedFeatures:	0.89	11.3% +-1.8%

Fig.3: PerformancesofIntensityExtractors

6. CONCLUSIONS

We presented several methods to extract relevant fe atures concerning the problem of modelling the perceived e nergy of music titles out of their raw audio signal. We focu sed on the presentation of our EDS system. EDS is able to both improve usual low-level descriptors by adding pre- and post -processing operations, and to build automatically new relevant features, thanks to a genetic search algorithm guided by spec ialized heuristics. EDS is a general system that can be use d to find relevantfeaturesforanydescriptionproblem.

7. REFERENCES

- TzanetakisGeorge,EsslGeorg,andCookPerry, musicalgenreclassificationofaudiosignals',in of 2nd International Symposium on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR01), pages 205--210, Bloomington, I N, USA,October2001
- [2] Perfecto Herrera, Xavier Serra, Geoffroy Peeter s, "Audio descriptors and descriptors schemes in the context of MPEG-7", Proceedings of the 1999 ICMC, Beijing, Chi na, October 1999.
- Scheirer, Eric D., "Tempo and Beat Analysis of Musical Signals", J. Acoust. Soc. Am. (JASA) 103:1 (Jan 1998),pp588-601.
- [4] John R. Koza, "Genetic Programming: on the prog ramming of computers by means of natural selection", Cambri dge, MA:TheMITPress.
- [5] DavidJMontana,"Stronglytypedgeneticprogra mming",In EvolutionaryComputation3-2,1995,pp199-230.