THE EMERGENCE OF RULES AND EXCEPTIONS IN A POPULATION OF INTERACTING AGENTS

CHRISTINE CUSKLEY^{1,3}, VITTORIO LORETO^{1,2}

¹Social Dynamics Unit, Institute for Scientific Interchange Turin, Italy

²Dipartimento di Fisica, University of Rome, La Sapienza Rome, Italy, vittorio.loreto@roma1.infn.it

³Language Evolution and Computation Unit, University of Edinburgh Edinburgh, UK, ccuskley@gmail.com

Recent studies in language evolution have identified important roles for frequency (Cuskley et al., 2014), phonology (Bybee, 2001), and speaker population (Lupyan & Dale, 2010) in the dynamics of linguistic regularity. We present a model which integrates frequency, phonology, and speaker demographics to investigate how and why regularity and irregularity persist together given the general bias to eliminate unpredictable variation (i.e., irregularity), especially in experimental contexts (e.g., Hudson Kam & Newport, 2005; Smith & Wonnacott, 2010, among others). Kirby (2001) points out that while many models aim to represent how regular structure emerges in language, very few models explain how *irregularity* emerges. Using the iterated learning framework, Kirby (2001) showed that a skewed frequency of meanings and a general pressure for least effort in production can lead to the emergence of both stable regulars and irregulars in a vocabulary.

The current work aims to extend this finding by investigating the role of nonnative speakers and phonological similarity in regularity dynamics. A recent study showed that non-native speakers irregularize novel forms more than native speakers. For example, non-native speakers are more likely than native speakers to apply 'rules' inferred from existing irregulars with a high token frequency (i.e., to provide the past tense of *spling* as *splung*, as an analogy with *spring* Cuskley et al., 2015). A potential mechanism underlying this result is that native and non-native speakers extend rules in different ways, depending on how rules are represented in their input. In other words, since native speakers have more experience with the 'long-tail' of regular verb types (Cuskley et al., 2014), they are more likley to extend the 'regular' rule. On the other hand, non-natives' input is skewed towards irregular types with high token frequency, and thus they are more likely extend quasiregularity when inflecting novel forms, especially when novel forms exhibit phonological similarity with existing irregulars (Cuskley et al., 2015).

We model the dynamics of regularity in a language evolving among a population of agents engaging in repeated communicative interactions (modelled after the Naming Game, hereafter NG; Loreto & Steels, 2007). The model broadly consists of repeated speaker (S) hearer (H) interactions. Unlike the NG, agents do not evolve labels for meanings, but inflections for forms: instead of naming meanings, the task of the S within the communicative interaction is to inflect an existing form, and success of the interaction is evaluated depending on whether the H shares the same inflection for the same form (see also Colaiori et al., 2015).

Agents begin with no inflections, but have an inventory of shared meanings labelled by strings randomly generated from a set of 10 characters. Meanings are chosen for each interaction based on a skewed, pre-deterimined frequency distribution. In early interactions, speaker agents choose a random two character string as an inflection; thus, at the outset, success is low, but agents nonetheless store inflections with weighted success (number if interactions/number of successes). Once agents acquire some inflections in their vocabulary as a result of interaction, they choose inflections for uninflected meanings in their vocabulary based on different "native" and "non-native" strategies. Both agent types have a first preference for extending inflections based on phonological similarity above a certain threshold: in other words, if the label for meaning A has a highly weighted inflection and a edit distance < 0.5 away from the label for meaning B, they will generalise the inflection for meaning A to meaning B. Where this strategy fails, natives extend inflections based on type frequency (i.e., apply the inflection used across most items in the vocabulary), while non-natives extend inflections based on token frequency (i.e., apply the inflection from the most frequent item in the vocabulary).

Populations arrive at stable inflectional paradigms which include both regular and irregular forms. By altering the proportion of type and token preference agents in different iterations of the model, we are able to examine how these different strategies affect the structure of language over long timescales, and how changing proportions of token and type extension agents changes languages over time. Results from this framework support recent theories that the relative proportion of native and non-native speakers in a population has the potential to affect the structure of language.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Kreyon Project, funded by the John Templeton Foundation under contract n.51663.

References

- Bybee, J. (2001). *Phonology and language use*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Colaiori, F., Castellano, C., Cuskley, C. F., Loreto, V., Pugliese, M., & Tria, F. (2015). General three-state model with biased population replacement: Analytical solution and application to language dynamics. *Phys. Rev. E*, *91*, 012808.
- Cuskley, C., Colaiori, F., Castellano, C., Loreto, V., Pugliese, M., & Tria, F. (2015). The adoption of linguistic rules in native and non-native speakers: Evidence from a wug task. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 84, 205-223.
- Cuskley, C. F., Pugliese, M., Castellano, C., Colaiori, F., Loreto, V., & Tria, F. (2014). Internal and external dynamics in language: Evidence from verb regularity in a historical corpus of english. *PLoS ONE*, 9(8), e102882.
- Hudson Kam, C. L., & Newport, E. L. (2005). Regularizing unpredictable variation: The roles of adult and child learners in language formation and change. *Language Learning and Development*, 1(2), 151-195.
- Kirby, S. (2001). Spontaneous evolution of linguistic structure-an iterated learning model of the emergence of regularity and irregularity. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 5(2), 102-110.
- Loreto, V., & Steels, L. (2007). Social dynamics: emergence of language. *Nature Physics*, *3*(11), 758-760.
- Lupyan, G., & Dale, R. (2010). Language structure is partly determined by social structure. *PLoS ONE*, *5*(1), e8559.
- Smith, K., & Wonnacott, E. (2010). Eliminating unpredictable variation through iterated learning. *Cognition*, *116*(3), 444-449.